

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/062,949	UEMURA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136

**All Participants:**

(1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.

**Status of Application:** non final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jason D. Lohr.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-12

Prior art documents discussed:

20020059364, and 6572025

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: requiring amendments to particularly point out the invention. Example, discriminating information being unique, specifying discriminating information, and the user being the only validator of the discriminating information the validator user entered/stored but not a second/third person, and resolving 101, and 112 problems.